STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

SGA BIII No. SR6-98F

Enc a. Seac		10-5-91
Speaker of the House		Date
PASSED SENATE:		
Timothy K. Smith Speaker of the Senate	VETOED:	<u>10-5-98</u> Date
APPROVED:	VETOED:	
SGA President		<u>10-7-98</u> Date
APPROVED:	VETOED:	
Vice President for Student		 Date
Affairs		Date

S.R.-6-98F

Sponsors: Ryan McGehee, Ed Sallo, and Jeremy Baker

Whereas: The current employment requirements for Assistant Dean of Judicial Affairs does not include a law degree despite serious legal obligations of the stated position.

Whereas: The stated position oversees disciplinary action and therefore possibly responsible for legal action against the university as a consequence

Whereas: To better protect the university from possible legal suits directly related to the Judicial branch of the SGA, an applicant whether permanent or interim should have a law degree to avoid possible mistakes and therefore legal action against the university

Therefore, be it enacted by the 61st Congress of the Student Government Association of Middle Tennessee State University that:

Section 1: Any person filling the position of Assistant Dean of Judicial Affairs, whether permanent or interim, will have a law degree.

Section 2: This requirement will become a permanent measure in the hiring of the stated position as soon as possible, the student welfare requiring it.

on-campus memo:



MEMORANDUM

To:

Mr. Jason Lawson

Mr. Eric Spear Mr. Tim Smith

From:

Robert C. LaLance, Jr. RCL

Vice President for Student Affairs

Re:

SGA Bill 5-98-F

Date:

October 15, 1998

I have reviewed the referenced legislation and while I am sympathetic to the stated objectives of the sponsors, I do not feel that it would be appropriate for me to approve the bill in its current form, for the following reasons:

- 1. The subject matter of the proposed legislation would require a revision of our existing institutional rules. The Rules Committee is currently in the process of finalizing their recommendations for rules changes to be effective for the 1999-2000 academic year. It would be problematic, in my opinion, to get the legislation in a form that could be considered by the Rules Committee this year given their present schedule and deadlines for submission of proposed rules changes to the TBR.
- 2. Double jeopardy is a constitutional protection afforded by the Fifth Amendment in the context of <u>criminal</u> prosecutions. It is unclear how such a prohibition might apply in administrative proceedings.
- 3. Section (2) of the legislation provides that a student <u>can</u> be "retried" in the event it can be proven that the court was "illegally influenced." This terminology would need to be clarified in order to have any practical application. In addition, Section (2) could be interpreted as being inconsistent with Section (1).

4. It is my understanding that the impetus for the legislation arose from issues related to the Traffic Court. If that is the case, Section (3) is clearly inconsistent with our existing institutional rules (see the MTSU Student Handbook (1998-1999), at p. 55, Judicial Bodies, Structure of Judicial System, Section (2): "...The decision of the Student Traffic Court will be final in such cases.").

I would suggest that the sponsors arrange a meeting with Dr. Burke, Dr. Hays, Dr. Fitch and the Traffic Court advisor so that the concerns noted above might be addressed and the legislation be moved forward for timely consideration.

I commend the SGA and the sponsors for their initiative in addressing these concerns. Thank you for your efforts toward improving the quality of student life at MTSU.

cc: Dr. Tom Burke

Dr. Gene Fitch

Ms. Jennifer Sykora

Mr. AaronTallent

Mr. Brian Gillespe

Mr. Matt Graves

Ms. Amanda Griffin

Mr. Jeremy Baker

Ms. Erica Warren

Mr. Leif Swanson

Mr. Ed Salo